UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

SKILLZ PLATFORM INC., Plaintiff,

v.

AVIAGAMES INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 21-cv-02436-BLF

VERDICT FORM

Case 5:21-cv-02436-BLF Document 632 Filed 02/09/24 Page 2 of 7

We, the jury, unanimously answer the following questions as our verdict in this case. 1 2 I. FINDINGS ON INFRINGEMENT 3 **OUESTION 1:** Did Skillz prove by a preponderance of the evidence that AviaGames's 4 Pocket7Games product literally infringes any of the following asserted claims of the '564 patent? 5 Checking "ves" below indicates a finding for Skillz. Checking "no" below indicates a finding for 6 AviaGames. 7 No Claim 1 Yes 8 If you answered "no" for Claim 1, skip Claim 4 and continue to Claim 11. Otherwise, continue to 9 Claim 4. Yes V 10 Claim 4 No 11 Continue to Claim 11. 12 Claim 11 No 13 If you answered "no" for Claim 11, skip Claim 14 and continue to Claim 18. Otherwise, continue to 14 Claim 14. 15 Claim 14 No 16 Continue to claim 18. 17 Claim 18 No 18 If you answered "no" for Claim 18, skip Claim 21. 19 Claim 21 Yes No 20 21 For each claim you did not find literally infringed in Question 1, answer Question 2. If you found 22 all claims literally infringed in Question 1, continue to Question 3. 23 24 25 26 27 28 Case No. 5:21-cv-02436

- 1				
1	QUESTION 2: For any claim of the '564 patent, if you did not find that AviaGames's			
2	2 Pocket7Games product literally infringes	Pocket7Games product literally infringes the claim, did Skillz prove by a preponderance of the		
3	evidence that AviaGames's product infringes any of the following asserted claims of the '564 patent			
4	4 under the doctrine of equivalents?	under the doctrine of equivalents?		
5	Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for Skillz. Checking "no" below indicates a finding for			
6	6 AviaGames.			
7	7 Claim 1	Yes	No	
8	8 If you answered "no" for Claim 1, skip C	If you answered "no" for Claim 1, skip Claim 4 and continue to Claim 11. Otherwise, continue to		
9	9 Claim 4.			
10	Claim 4	Yes	No	
11	11 Continue to Claim 11.			
12	12 Claim 11	Yes	No	
13	If you answered "no" for Claim 11, skip Claim 14 and continue to Claim 18. Otherwise, continue to			
14	14 Claim 14.			
15	15 Claim 14	Yes	No	
16	16 Continue to Claim 18.			
17	17 Claim 18	Yes	No	
18	If you answered "no" for Claim 18, skip Claim 21.			
19	19 Claim 21	Yes	No	
20	20			
21	21 Continue to Question 3.			
22	22			
23	23			
24	24			
25	25			
26	26		•	
27	27			
28	28			
		2		
			Case No. 5:21-cv-02436	

II. **FINDINGS ON INVALIDITY** QUESTION 3: Did AviaGames prove by clear and convincing evidence that the asserted claims of the '564 patent are invalid because the phrase "a stream of pseudo random number seeds wherein a unique match identifier is used for generating the stream of pseudo random number seeds" lacks written description support? Checking "ves" below indicates a finding for AviaGames. Checking "no" below indicates a finding for Skillz. Yes No If you found one or more claims of the '564 patent to be infringed in Question 1 or 2 and not invalid in Question 3 (i.e., if you checked "Yes" for any claim in Question 1 or Question 2, and "No" for Question 3), continue to Question 4. Otherwise, go to the end of the verdict form.

III. FINDINGS ON DAMAGES

QUESTION 4: What sum of money do you find that Skillz has proven by a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Skillz for AviaGames's infringement of the '564 patent through the date of this trial?

\$ 42,899,274,00

Continue to Question 5.

Case 5:21-cv-02436-BLF Document 632 Filed 02/09/24 Page 6 of 7

IV. FINDINGS ON WILLFULNESS QUESTION 5: Did Skillz prove by a preponderance of the evidence that AviaGames willfully infringed any claim of the '564 patent? Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for Skillz. Checking "no" below indicates a finding for AviaGames. No

V. END OF VERDICT FORM

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous decisions in this case. If you are satisfied that your unanimous answers are accurately reflected, please have your Presiding Juror sign and date this form in the spaces below and return it to the Judge.

Presiding Juror

Date 2/9/24